

Baryonic Operators for Lattice Simulations

LHP Collaboration: R. Edwards^a, R. Fiebig^b, G. Fleming^a, U.M. Heller^c, C. Morningstar^{d *}, D. Richards^a, I. Sato^e, S. Wallace^e.

^aThomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606, USA

^bPhysics Department, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199, USA

^cAmerican Physical Society, One Research Road, Ridge, NY 11961-9000, USA

^dDepartment of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

^eDepartment of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

The construction of baryonic operators for determining the N^* excitation spectrum is discussed. The operators are designed with one eye towards maximizing overlaps with the low-lying states of interest, and the other eye towards minimizing the number of sources needed in computing the required quark propagators. Issues related to spin identification are outlined. Although we focus on tri-quark baryon operators, the construction method is applicable to both mesons and penta-quark operators.

1. OVERVIEW

One major goal of the Lattice Hadron Physics Collaboration (LHPC) is to calculate a significant portion of the low-lying spectrum of baryon resonances from Monte Carlo estimates of Euclidean-space path integrals in lattice QCD. To accomplish this goal, large sets of operators which can create the baryon states of interest at a given time t must be designed. Our approach to constructing such operators is outlined in this poster.

Baryon states are identified by their momentum $\mathbf{p}$, intrinsic (half-integral) spin J , projection λ of this spin onto some axis, parity $P = \pm 1$, and quark flavor content (isospin, strangeness, *etc.*). Since we are interested first in the masses of these states, we restrict our attention to the $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$ sector, so our operators must be invariant under all spatial translations allowed on a cubic lattice. The little group of all symmetry transformations which leave $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$ invariant is the octahedral point group O_h , so our operators may be classified using the spinorial irreducible representations (irreps) of O_h . There are four two-dimensional irreps $G_{1g}, G_{1u}, G_{2g}, G_{2u}$ and two four-dimensional

representations H_g and H_u [1,2]. The continuum-limit spins J of our states must be deduced by examining degeneracy patterns across the different O_h irreps.

We use a two-step approach to construct the operators. First, we design *elemental* operators $B_i^F(t, \mathbf{x})$ having the appropriate flavor structure characterized by isospin, strangeness, *etc.*, and color structure constrained by gauge invariance. In the second step, we apply group-theoretical projections to obtain operators which transform irreducibly under all lattice rotation and reflection symmetries:

$$B_i^{\Lambda F}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{d_\Lambda}{g_{O_h} R \in O_h} \sum D_{\lambda\lambda}^{(\Lambda)*}(R) U_R B_i^F(t, \mathbf{x}) U_R^\dagger, \quad (1)$$

where Λ refers to an O_h irrep, λ is the irrep row, g_{O_h} is the number of elements in O_h , d_Λ is the dimension of the Λ irrep, $D_{mn}^{(\Lambda)}(R)$ is a Λ representation matrix corresponding to group element R , and U_R is the quantum operator which implements the symmetry operations. We also impose translation invariance for zero momentum states:

$$B_i^{\Lambda F}(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} B_i^{\Lambda F}(t, \mathbf{x}). \quad (2)$$

*Poster presented by C. Morningstar.

Note that in order to capture the *spectrum*, we plan to calculate correlation matrices

$$C_{ij}^{\Lambda\lambda F}(t) = \langle 0 | T B_i^{\Lambda\lambda F}(t) \bar{B}_j^{\Lambda\lambda F}(0) | 0 \rangle. \quad (3)$$

Matrices of correlation functions facilitate the reliable extraction of the masses of excited states since variational techniques, possibly combined with Bayesian methods, can be exploited. The operators are designed with one eye towards maximizing overlaps with the low-lying states of interest, and the other eye towards minimizing the number of sources needed to compute the required quark propagators. Throughout this work, we work in the approximation $m_u = m_d$, where m_u and m_d are the masses of the u and d quarks, respectively, and we ignore electromagnetic effects. Hence, isospin is a good quantum number.

2. DESIGN DETAILS

Gauge invariance and isospin dictate the color and flavor structure of the elemental operators in the first stage of the operator construction. Since we intend to include all allowed operators within a given isospin channel, we do not need to consider explicit $SU(3)$ -flavor combinations. As long as we are careful to respect isospin, the variational method takes care of finding the preferred flavor combinations for us.

Both link variable and quark field smearings are important for reducing the couplings of our operators to higher-lying states. Familiar APE smearing[3] of the spatial links can be employed, and the three-dimensional covariant spatial Laplacian is used to smear the quark fields:

$$\tilde{\psi}(x) = (1 + \varrho \tilde{\Delta})^{n_\varrho} \psi(x), \quad (4)$$

where ϱ and integer n_ϱ are tunable parameters and the three-dimensional gauge-covariant Laplacian is defined by

$$\tilde{\Delta}\psi(x) = \sum_{k=\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3} \left(\tilde{U}_k(x) \psi(x+\hat{k}) - \psi(x) \right), \quad (5)$$

with $\tilde{U}_\mu(x)$ denoting a smeared link variable. Note that the square of the smeared field is equal to zero, similar to the simple Grassmann field.

Our three-quark elemental operators are constructed using the following building blocks:

$$\chi_{Aa\alpha}^n(x) \equiv (\tilde{\Delta}^n \tilde{\psi}(x))_{Aa\alpha}, \quad (6)$$

$$\xi_{Aa\alpha}^{npj}(x) \equiv (\tilde{D}_j^{(p)} \tilde{\Delta}^n \tilde{\psi}(x))_{Aa\alpha}, \quad (7)$$

where A is a flavor index, a is a color index, α is a Dirac spin index, and the p -link gauge-covariant displacement operator is defined by

$$\tilde{D}_j^{(p)} O(x) = \tilde{U}_j(x) \dots \tilde{U}_j(x + (p-1)\hat{j}) O(x + p\hat{j}). \quad (8)$$

Our three-quark elemental operators have the following forms:

$$\phi_{ABC}^F \varepsilon_{abc} \chi_{Aa\alpha}^{n_1} \chi_{Bb\beta}^{n_2} \chi_{Cc\gamma}^{n_3}, \quad (9)$$

$$\phi_{ABC}^F \varepsilon_{abc} \chi_{Aa\alpha}^{n_1} \chi_{Bb\beta}^{n_2} \xi_{Cc\gamma}^{n_3 pj}, \quad (10)$$

$$\phi_{ABC}^F \varepsilon_{abc} \chi_{Aa\alpha}^{n_1} \xi_{Bb\beta}^{n_2 p_1 j} \xi_{Cc\gamma}^{n_3 p_2 k}, \quad (11)$$

where $n_1, n_2, n_3, p, p_1, p_2$ are positive integers, $j, k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$ are spatial directions, α, β, γ are Dirac spin indices, A, B, C are flavors, and a, b, c are colors. The Levi-Civita symbol ε_{abc} specifies the color structure and the ϕ_{ABC}^F specify the flavor combinations. Since baryon resonances are expected to be large objects, extended operators are crucial. Note that the different powers of the spatial Laplacian $\tilde{\Delta}$ are used to build up radial structure, while the displacement operator $\tilde{D}_j^{(p)}$ is used to incorporate orbital structure. A large number of baryons can be studied using a somewhat small number of quark propagator sources: $n_\kappa(n_a + 3n_p n_b)$, where n_p is the number of displacement lengths p , n_a is the number of powers of $\tilde{\Delta}$, n_b is the number of powers of $\tilde{\Delta}$ combined with a displacement, and n_κ is number of quark masses used.

In the second stage of the operator construction, the group-theoretical projections in Eq. (1) are applied. Eq. (1) requires explicit representation matrices for every group element. Representation matrices for all allowed proper rotations can be generated from the matrices for C_{4y} and C_{4z} , the rotations by $\pi/2$ about the y - and z -axes, respectively. The explicit matrices we use for these generators are given by

$$D^{(G_1)}(C_{4y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = -D^{(G_2)}(C_{4y}),$$

Table 1

Continuum limit spin identification: occurrences n_Γ^J of O irrep Γ in subduction of $SU(2)$ irrep J .

J	$n_{G_1}^J$	$n_{G_2}^J$	n_H^J
$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	0
$\frac{3}{2}$	0	0	1
$\frac{5}{2}$	0	1	1
$\frac{7}{2}$	1	1	1
$\frac{9}{2}$	1	0	2
$\frac{11}{2}$	1	1	2

$$D^{(G_1)}(C_{4z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1-i & 0 \\ 0 & 1+i \end{bmatrix} = -D^{(G_2)}(C_{4z}),$$

$$D^{(H)}(C_{4y}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} & -1 \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 & -1 & \sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & 1 & -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ 1 & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$D^{(H)}(C_{4z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -1-i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1+i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1+i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Inclusion of spatial inversion is straightforward.

The projections in Eq. (1) are carried out using Maple. The resulting operators transform irreducibly under O_h . In the continuum limit, the spin J is identified using Table 1. For example, to identify a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ baryon, it must occur in the G_1 irrep and accompanying degenerate levels in the G_2 and H irreps must *not* occur. A level occurring at the same $a \rightarrow 0$ mass in all three G_1 , G_2 , and H irreps can be identified as a spin- $\frac{7}{2}$ baryon.

To illustrate our operator construction, consider an explicit example. Let

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \varepsilon^{abc} (u_\alpha^a d_\gamma^b u_\beta^c - d_\alpha^a u_\gamma^b u_\beta^c), \quad (12)$$

ignoring smearing and powers of the Laplacian to simplify matters and using u, d to indicate flavor. The above operators have isospin $I = 1/2$, isospin projection $I_3 = 1/2$, and strangeness $S = 0$. There are twenty independent operators due to the constraints $\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \Phi_{\gamma\beta\alpha} = 0$ and $\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \Phi_{\beta\gamma\alpha} + \Phi_{\gamma\alpha\beta} = 0$. Linear combinations which transform irreducibly under O_h are listed in Table 2.

Table 2

Combinations of the operators $\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ in Eq. (12) which transform irreducibly under O_h for the Dirac-Pauli representation of the γ -matrices.

Irrep	Row	Operators
G_{1g}	1	Φ_{221}
G_{1g}	2	Φ_{112}
G_{1g}	1	Φ_{324}
G_{1g}	2	$-\Phi_{314}$
G_{1g}	1	$2\Phi_{441} + 2\Phi_{234} - \Phi_{324}$
G_{1g}	2	$2\Phi_{332} + 2\Phi_{134} - \Phi_{314}$
G_{1u}	1	Φ_{443}
G_{1u}	2	Φ_{334}
G_{1u}	1	$\Phi_{124} - \Phi_{214}$
G_{1u}	2	$-\Phi_{123} + \Phi_{213}$
G_{1u}	1	$2\Phi_{223} - \Phi_{124} - \Phi_{214}$
G_{1u}	2	$2\Phi_{114} - \Phi_{123} - \Phi_{213}$
H_g	1	$\sqrt{3} \Phi_{442}$
H_g	2	$-\Phi_{441} + 2\Phi_{234} - \Phi_{324}$
H_g	3	$\Phi_{332} - 2\Phi_{134} + \Phi_{314}$
H_g	4	$-\sqrt{3} \Phi_{331}$
H_u	1	$\sqrt{3} \Phi_{224}$
H_u	2	$-\Phi_{223} - \Phi_{124} - \Phi_{214}$
H_u	3	$\Phi_{114} + \Phi_{123} + \Phi_{213}$
H_u	4	$-\sqrt{3} \Phi_{113}$

Although we focused on tri-quark baryon operators, our approach to constructing hadronic operators is applicable to mesons and penta-quark operators. By including $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ fields into our operators, hadrons bound by an excited gluon field can also be studied. This work was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Awards PHY-0099450 and PHY-0300065, and by the U.S. Department of Energy under contracts DE-AC05-84ER40150 and DE-FG02-93ER-40762.

REFERENCES

1. R.C. Johnson, Phys. Lett. B **114**, 147 (1982).
2. J. Mandula and E. Shpiz, Nucl. Phys. B **232**, 180 (1984).
3. M. Albanese *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **192**, 163 (1987).